

Characteristics of Fellow	Exceptional by international comparison and beyond the discipline itself	Outstanding by international/national comparison and beyond/within the discipline itself	Excellent by national comparison within the discipline itself
Recognition	- International recognition by academic/scientific/scholarly peers (if relevant to discipline) - National recognition by media, public, policy makers - International uptake of innovations/scholarship (if relevant to discipline) - Exceptionally wide public status/credibility of awards, nominators	<ul> <li>National recognition by academic/scientific/scholarly peers</li> <li>National recognition by media, public, policy makers</li> <li>National uptake of innovations/scholarship</li> <li>Prestigious credibility and status of awards and nominators</li> </ul>	- Local/disciplinary recognition by peers - Local/disciplinary recognition by media, public, policy makers - Local/disciplinary uptake of innovations/scholarship - Well-respected and disciplinary-focused status of awards and nominators
Leadership and Potential for Civic Contribution/Engagement	- Leadership in impactful public-focused groups - Appointments/elections into national/international public-focused groups - Leadership in international scientific/academic societies with strong public outlook	- Leadership in impactful national/international scientific/academic societies - Appointment/elections into national/international scientific/academic societies - Evidence of translation of scientific/academic innovation into practice	- Leadership in provincial/institutional scientific/academic groups - Appointments to provincial groups - Influence on institutional, disciplinary, provincial groups

			T = 400(1 · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
Creativity and	- Top 2% in terms of	- Top 5% in terms of - Top 10% in terms of		
Productivity (as	productivity/volume of	productivity/volume of	productivity/volume of grants/publications	
compared to national and	grants/publications	grants/publications		
international peers in the	- Top 2% of quality of journals/scholarly	- Top 5% of quality of	- Top 10% of quality journals/scholarly	
specific candidate's	venues in the discipline	journals/scholarly venues in the	venues in the discipline	
field/discipline)	- Top 2% in terms of impact within	discipline	- Top 10% in terms of impact within	
	discipline/field	- Top 5% in terms of impact within	discipline/field	
	And/or	discipline/field	And/or	
	- Significant public impact beyond	And/or	- Meaningful impact within	
	discipline/field	- Some meaningful public impact	discipline/field	
	- Significant impact on society or	beyond discipline/field	- Some impact on society or community	
	community through alternative	- Meaningful impact on society or	through alternative methods of	
	methods of influence beyond	community through alternative	influence beyond publications/grants	
	publications/grants/research	methods of influence beyond	research	
	,	publications/grants/research		
		, , ,		
<u>Distinctive Competencies</u>	- Internationally acknowledged unique	- Nationally acknowledged unique skill	- Disciplinary-wide acknowledgement of	
	skill set or knowledge base with	set or knowledge base with	unique skills set/knowledge base with	
	demonstrated applicability to deliver	demonstrated applicability to deliver	significant potential to deliver	
	improvement of health care/health	improvement of health care/health	improvement of health care/health	
	systems/population health outcomes at	systems/population health outcomes	systems/population health outcomes	
	the global level	at the national level		
	-			
<u>Demonstrated</u>	- Interprofessional, interdisciplinary,	- Interprofessional, interdisciplinary,	- Interprofessional, interdisciplinary and	
Commitment to	and multi-sectoral leadership to support	and multi-sectoral	multisectoral leadership and/or	
advancement of health	advancement and improvement within	participation/contributions to support	participation to support advancement	
sciences and public	and beyond the discipline	advancement and improvement	and improvement within the discipline	
health outcomes		within and beyond the discipline		
Evidence of contributions	- Evidence of meaningful impacts and	- Evidence of results of activities and	- Evidence of activities and efforts	
to advance inclusivity,	outcomes within and beyond the	efforts related to inclusivity, diversity,	focused on supporting inclusivity,	
diversity, equity, and	discipline in supporting commitments to	equity and accessibility (e.g.	diversity, equity, and accessibility (e.g.	
accessibility in Canada	inclusivity, diversity, equity, and	demographics of graduate students	attending or completing IDEA training	
	accessibility (e.g. leading policy change	trained)	sessions)	
	within an institution)			